

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Defendants.

**FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR  
DECLARATORY RELIEF**

known as Health Care Financing Administration, is a division of the Department of Health and Human Services, the governmental agency that administers the Medicare program.

///

1           4.       Defendant, CNI Administration Services, LLC, is a limited liability company  
2 registered in the State of Oklahoma and doing business in California. It was awarded a  
3 contract by CMS on October 2, 2006 as a national Medicare Secondary Payer Recovery  
4 Contractor ("MSPRC") for all post-payment recoveries.

5           5.       Defendant, Michael Leavitt, is the Secretary of the Department of Health and  
6 Human Services, the federal agency responsible for administering the Medicare program. The  
7 Secretary administers the Medicare program through CMS.

8           6.       Jurisdiction over this action is conferred by Title XVIII of the Social Security Act  
9 (The Medicare Program) and pursuant to the recovery provisions of the Medicare Secondary  
10 Payer legislation, 42 U.S.C. §1395y(b)(2)(B)(ii), 42 C.F.R. §411.24(b) and/or the Federal  
11 Claims Collection Act, 31 U.S.C. §3711, *et. seq.*

12           7.       Venue is proper in this Court inasmuch as a substantial part of the events or  
13 omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this district, or a substantial part of the property  
14 that is the subject of the action is located within this district.

15           8.       On January 21, 2006, plaintiff's husband, James C. Vestevich, was critically  
16 injured and later died after the motorcycle he was riding was struck by another vehicle.

17           9.       Plaintiff settled with Lorenzo Villa, the driver of the vehicle that struck Dr.  
18 Vestevich, for the Progressive Auto Insurance policy limits of \$15,000 for the damages she  
19 suffered as a result of her husband's death.

20           10.      In addition, plaintiff had to pursue an underinsured motorist claim against USAA  
21 Insurance, Dr. Vestevich's automobile insurance company. Plaintiff settled with USAA  
22 Insurance for \$285,000.

23           11.      Following the settlements with Progressive Auto Insurance and with USAA  
24 Insurance, plaintiff attempted numerous times to determine whether defendants would be  
25 asserting a lien and/or claiming a right of recovery on the wrongful death settlement and, if so,  
26  
27  
28

1 that defendants waive any purported lien rights pursuant to *Fitch v. Select Products Co.* 36  
2 Cal.4<sup>th</sup> 812, 819 (2005), and *California Code of Civil Procedure* sections 377.34 and 377.61.

3 12. Defendants ultimately asserted a lien in the amount of \$83,109.42. However,  
4 defendants have wholly failed and refused to respond to plaintiff's request that they waive  
5 their lien rights – despite plaintiff's repeated requests over the past ten months to do so.  
6 Defendants' failure to respond is precluding the distribution of the settlement proceeds to  
7 plaintiff.

8 13. A declaratory judgment is necessary to determine whether defendants are  
9 asserting a claim for recovery of any benefits paid, and if so, to expunge any purported lien of  
10 defendants on the basis of law and equity.

11 WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays for declaratory judgment against defendants as follows:

- 12 1. That the Court declare the respective rights and duties of plaintiff and  
13 defendants;  
14 2. That the Court declare, as a matter of law, defendants have no lien rights on the  
15 wrongful death recovery obtained by plaintiff;  
16 3. That plaintiff be awarded her costs, expenses and attorneys fees incurred  
17 herein; and,  
18 4. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

19  
20 Dated: May 30, 2008

THE McCLELLAN LAW FIRM

21  
22 By: s/Robert J. Chambers, II  
23 ROBERT J. CHAMBERS, II  
24 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
25 rob@mcclellanlaw.com  
26  
27  
28